

## ON MODIFICATIONS OF THE EUCLIDEAN METRIC ON REALS

JOZEF DOBOŠ

ABSTRACT. The paper is concerned with some differentiable properties of Euclidean metric preserving functions.

Denote by  $\mathcal{O}$  the set of all functions  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$ . Let  $(M, d)$  be a metric space. For each  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  define the function  $d_f: M \times M \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  as follows

$$d_f(x, y) = f(d(x, y)) \quad \text{for each } x, y \in M.$$

Denote by  $\mathcal{M}$  the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  such that for each metric space  $(M, d)$  the function  $d_f$  is a metric on  $M$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{M}_0$  ( $\mathcal{M}_1$ ) the set of all functions  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  such that  $e_f$  is a pseudometric (metric) on the real line, where  $e: \mathbb{R} \times \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  is the Euclidean metric on  $\mathbb{R}$  (i.e.,  $e(x, y) = |x - y|$  for each  $x, y \in \mathbb{R}$ ).

Let  $a, b$  and  $c$  be positive numbers. We say that the triplet  $(a, b, c)$  is triangle iff  $a \leq b + c$ ,  $b \leq a + c$  and  $c \leq a + b$ . It is known (see [1]) that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  iff  $f$  vanishes exactly at the origin and maps each triangle triplet  $(a, b, c)$  to a triangle triplet.

**PROPOSITION 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then*

- a)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$  iff  $f$  maps each triangle triplet  $(a, b, a + b)$  to a triangle triplet;
- b)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  iff  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$  and  $f$  vanishes exactly at the origin.

Denote by  $F$  the even extension of  $f \in \mathcal{O}$ , i.e.,  $F: \mathbb{R} \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,  $F(x) = f(|x|)$  for each  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . It is not difficult to prove

**PROPOSITION 2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}$ . Then the following assertions are equivalent*

- (i)  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,
- (ii)  $F$  is subadditive,
- (iii)  $\forall x, y \in [0, +\infty): |f(x) - f(y)| \leq f(|x - y|)$ .

---

AMS Subject Classification (1991): 26B35.

Key words: metric preserving functions.

**COROLLARY 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Then  $f$  is continuous iff it is continuous at the origin.*

**PROPOSITION 3.** (Compare to [3].) *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,  $t > 0$ . Then  $F$  is periodic with the period  $t$  iff  $f(t) = 0$ .*

*Proof.* Suppose that  $f(t) = 0$ . Let  $x \in \mathbb{R}$ . Then  $F(x+t) \leq F(x) + F(t) = F(x) \leq F(x+t) + F(-t) = F(x+t)$ , which yields  $F(x+t) = F(x)$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 2.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ . Suppose that  $f$  is differentiable on the right at some  $s \in f^{-1}(0)$ . Then for each  $t \in f^{-1}(0)$  there exist both one-sided derivatives of  $F$  and  $F'_+(t) = -F'_-(t) = f'_+(s)$ .*

**PROPOSITION 4.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$ ,  $t \in F^{-1}(0)$ . Then  $F$  is differentiable at  $t$  iff  $F$  is constant.*

*Proof.* By Corollary 2  $F$  is differentiable at the origin and  $F'(0) = 0$ . Let  $a > 0$ . We shall show that  $f(a) = 0$ . Let  $\varepsilon > 0$ . Then there is  $\delta > 0$  such that for each  $x \in (0, \delta)$  we have  $\frac{f(x)}{x} < \frac{\varepsilon}{a}$ . Choose  $n \in \mathbb{N}$  such that  $\frac{a}{n} < \delta$ . Then  $f(\frac{a}{n}) < \frac{\varepsilon}{n}$ . Therefore  $f(a) \leq n \cdot f(\frac{a}{n}) < \varepsilon$ . Since  $\varepsilon > 0$  was arbitrary, we obtain  $f(a) = 0$ .  $\square$

**COROLLARY 3.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}_0$  be nonconstant. If  $f$  is differentiable on  $(0, +\infty)$ , then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$ .*

It is known that for each  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  we have

$$\forall a, b \geq 0, a \leq 2b: f(a) \leq 2f(b). \quad (*)$$

(See [1].) The following example shows that for functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  this assertion is not true.

**EXAMPLE 1.** Define  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  by  $f(x) = |\sin x| + |\sin \sqrt{2}x|$  for each  $x \geq 0$ . Then  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$ , but  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

Now we will describe a construction of differentiable functions  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  with  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

**LEMMA 1.** *Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ ,  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ . Define  $f_n: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  as follows*

$$f_n(x) = \begin{cases} f(x), & x \in [0, 2^{n-1}], \\ f(2^n - x), & x \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n], \\ f_n(x - k \cdot 2^n), & x \in (k \cdot 2^n, (k+1) \cdot 2^n], \quad (k = 1, 2, \dots). \end{cases}$$

Then  $f_n \in \mathcal{M}_0$ .

**P r o o f.** Let  $x, y > 0$ . Then there are  $k, l \in \{0, 1, 2, 3, \dots\}$  such that  $k \cdot 2^n < x \leq (k+1) \cdot 2^n$  and  $l \cdot 2^n < y \leq (l+1) \cdot 2^n$ . Put  $a = x - k \cdot 2^n$  and  $b = y - l \cdot 2^n$ . Evidently  $a, b \in (0, 2^n]$ . Suppose that  $a \leq b$ . We distinguish six cases.

- 1) Let  $a, b, a + b \in (0, 2^{n-1}]$ . Since  $(a, b, a + b)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(a), f(b), f(a + b))$  is a triangle triplet.
- 2) Let  $a, b \in (0, 2^{n-1}]$ , and  $a + b \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ . Since  $(a, b, 2^n - a - b)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(a), f(b), f(2^n - a - b))$  is a triangle triplet.
- 3) Let  $a \in (0, 2^{n-1}]$ , and  $b, a + b \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ . Since  $(a, 2^n - b, 2^n - a - b)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(a), f(2^n - b), f(2^n - a - b))$  is a triangle triplet.
- 4) Let  $a \in (0, 2^{n-1}]$ ,  $b \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ , and  $a + b \in (2^n, 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}]$ . Since  $(a, 2^n - b, a + b - 2^n)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(a), f(2^n - b), f(a + b - 2^n))$  is a triangle triplet.
- 5) Let  $a, b \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n]$  and  $a + b \in (2^n, 3 \cdot 2^{n-1}]$ . Since  $(2^n - a, 2^n - b, a + b - 2^n)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(2^n - a), f(2^n - b), f(a + b - 2^n))$  is a triangle triplet.
- 6) Let  $a, b \in (2^{n-1}, 2^n]$ , and  $a + b \in (3 \cdot 2^{n-1}, 2^{n+1}]$ . Since  $(2^n - a, 2^n - b, 2^{n+1} - a - b)$  is a triangle triplet,  $(f_n(x), f_n(y), f_n(x + y)) = (f(2^n - a), f(2^n - b), f(2^{n+1} - a - b))$  is a triangle triplet.

□

As a corollary we obtain

**THEOREM.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . Suppose that  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \geq 1$ . Define  $f_0: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  as follows

$$f_0(x) = \sup\{2^{1-n} \cdot f_n(x); n \in \mathbb{N}\} \quad \text{for each } x \geq 0.$$

Then  $f_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $f_0(2^n) = 2^{-n}$  for each  $n \in \mathbb{N}$ .

The following example shows that there is a differentiable function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  with  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

**EXAMPLE 2.** Let  $f \in \mathcal{O}$  be such that

- (1)  $f$  is nondecreasing,
- (2)  $f$  is differentiable on  $[0, +\infty)$ ,
- (3)  $f(a_n) = 2^{1-n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),
- (4)  $f'(a_n) = 0$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ),
- (5)  $f(x) = 1$  for each  $x \geq 1$ ,

$$(6) \quad f(x) \geq k_n \cdot x \text{ for each } x \in (a_{n+1}, a_n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

$$(7) \quad f'(x) \leq k_{n+1} \text{ for each } x \in (a_{n+1}, a_n) \quad (n \in \mathbb{N}),$$

where  $a_n = \frac{n+1}{n \cdot 2^n}$  and  $k_n = \frac{2^{1-n}}{a_n}$  ( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ).

Since  $f = \sup_n g_n$ , where  $g_n: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$ ,

$$g_n(x) = \begin{cases} k_{n+1} \cdot x, & x \in [0, a_{n+1}), \\ f(x), & x \in [a_{n+1}, a_n], \\ 2^{1-n}, & x \in (a_n, +\infty), \end{cases}$$

( $n \in \mathbb{N}$ ), we have  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . By Theorem  $f_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_0(x) = 0$ . It is not difficult to verify that  $f_0$  is differentiable on  $[0, +\infty)$ .

By this method it is not difficult to construct a singular function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  with  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$ .

EXAMPLE 3. Let  $c: [0, 1] \rightarrow [0, 1]$  be the standard Cantor function. (See [2].) Define  $f: [0, +\infty) \rightarrow [0, +\infty)$  as follows

$$f(x) = \begin{cases} c(x), & x \in [0, 1], \\ 1, & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

It is not difficult to verify that  $f \in \mathcal{M}$ . By Theorem we obtain  $f_0 \in \mathcal{M}_1$  and  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f_0(x) = 0$ . It is easy to see that  $f_0$  is singular.

Note that if  $f \in \mathcal{M}$  is continuous,  $f_0$  is almost periodic. In this connection a question arises of whether every continuous function  $f \in \mathcal{M}_1$  with  $\liminf_{x \rightarrow +\infty} f(x) = 0$  is almost periodic.

#### REFERENCES

- [1] BORSÍK, J., DOBOŠ, J.: *Functions, whose composition with every metric is a metric*, Math. Slovaca 31 (1981), 3-12. (In Russian)
- [2] DARST, R. B.: *Some Cantor sets and Cantor functions*, Math. Mag. 45 (1972), 2-7.
- [3] TORANZOS, F.: *Sobre la inequación funcional  $f(x)+f(y) \geq f(x+y)$* , Revista Matematica Hispano-Americana 8 (1933), 109-113.

Received September 16, 1994

Department of Mathematics  
 Faculty of Mechanical Engineering  
 Technical University  
 SK 042 00 Košice  
 SLOVAKIA  
 E-mail: dobos@ccsun.tuke.sk